SINGLE BENCH

CF 5002 (2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 419 /2007

PETITIONERS : 1. **Rajan Kumar Baghel**
S/o Shri Kaliram Baghel
Age about 43 years, Assistant Teacher
Govt. Middle School, Nimora,
Abhanpur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Smt. Shakun Bhimgaj**
W/o Ajeet Kumar Bhimgaj
Age about 42 years, Assistant Teacher
Village & P.O. - Hasda, Abhanpur,
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

3. **Anuj Ram Sahu**
S/o Shri Prem Lal Sahu
Age about 44 years, Assistant Teacher
Govt. Primary School, Sarakhi,
Abhanpur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

4. **Smt. Jageshwari Baghel**
W/o Shri Bulchand Baghel
Age about 41 years, Assistant Teacher
Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Abhanpur,
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

5. **Narendra Kumar Meshram**
S/o Shri Ghanaram Meshram
Age about 40 years, Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Pijangaon,
Block - Abhanpur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4081/07
Presented by Shri S.K. Verma
dated 11/12/07

RECEIVED COPY
10/12/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushal
BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. **State Government of Chhattisgarh**
Through :- **Secretary,**
Tribal & Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Assistant Commissioner**
   Tribal Welfare Department,
   Raipur (C.G.)

3. **District Education Officer**
   Raipur (C.G.)

4. **Block Education Officer**
   Abhanpur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 418 of 2007

Petitioners : Rajendra Kumar Gupta and another

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 419 of 2007

Petitioners : Rajan Kumar Baghel & others

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer, for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 23rd Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subbu